



TITLE:

Bifurcation Analysis for a Ginzburg-Landau equation (Variational Problems and Related Topics)

AUTHOR(S):

Morita, Yoshihisa

CITATION:

Morita, Yoshihisa. Bifurcation Analysis for a Ginzburg-Landau equation (Variational Problems and Related Topics). 数理解析研究所講究録 2008, 1591: 119-124

ISSUE DATE:

2008-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/81611>

RIGHT:

Bifurcation Analysis for a Ginzburg-Landau equation

龍谷大学・理工学部 森田 善久 (Yoshihisa Morita)
Department of Applied Mathematics and Informatics
Ryukoku University

1 Introduction

We consider a Ginzburg-Landau functional of the superconductivity in a thin film given by

$$E(\psi) := \int_D \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |(\nabla - iA_0)\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{4} (1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \right\} a(x, y) dx dy, \quad (1.1)$$

where D is a 2-dimensional domain, ψ is the complex valued order parameter describing a macroscopic superconducting state, κ is the Ginzburg-Landau parameter, $a(x, y)$ is a positive function describing the variable thickness of the film and A_0 is a vector potential for an applied magnetic field. The above energy can be reduced from the full Ginzburg-Landau energy in a thin domain

$$\Omega(\epsilon) = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : (x, y) \in D, \quad 0 < z < \epsilon a(x, y)\},$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Mathematical justification for the reduction is found in [2], [7] and [8].

Throughout the paper we assume

$$A_0 = h(0, x), \quad D := \{(x, y) : 0 < x < L_1, 0 < y < L_2\},$$

and periodic boundary conditions for ψ in y -direction. Thus we also assume $a(x, y)$ is periodic in y .

By the change of variables and parameters such as

$$\begin{aligned} x &= L_1 x', \quad y = (L_2/2\pi) y', \quad h = (2\pi/L_1 L_2) h', \quad \lambda := \kappa^2 L_1^2, \quad d := 2\pi L_1/L_2, \\ E(\psi) &= E'(\psi)/d, \quad a'(x', y') = a(L_1 x', (L_2/2\pi) y') \end{aligned}$$

and dropping the primes, we obtain the non-dimensional form

$$E(\psi) := \int_0^{2\pi} dy \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\psi_x|^2 + \frac{d^2}{2} |(\partial/\partial y - ihx)\psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} (1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \right\} a(x, y) dx. \quad (1.2)$$

We extend the domain of $\psi(x, y)$ and $a(x, y)$ over $(0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$ so that $\psi(\cdot, y + 2\pi) = \psi(\cdot, y)$ and $a(\cdot, y + 2\pi) = a(\cdot, y)$ ($y \in \mathbb{R}$) are satisfied.

In this article we are dealing with the gradient equation for (1.2) given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi_t = \frac{1}{a} D_A(a D_A \psi) + \lambda(1 - |\psi|^2)\psi, \\ \quad (x, y, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi \times (0, \infty), \\ \psi_x(0, y, t) = \psi_x(1, y, t) = 0, \quad (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi \times (0, \infty), \\ \psi(x, y, 0) = \psi_0(x, y, 0), \quad (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.3)$$

and discuss a bifurcation of non-trivial solutions around some critical values of the parameters together with the stability of the bifurcating solutions, where we put

$$D_A := (\partial/\partial x, d(\partial/\partial y - ihx)).$$

Note that \mathbb{C} is always identified with \mathbb{R}^2 . Hence solutions of (1.3) generate a smooth semiflow in the space $X_1 := H^1((0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}/2\pi; \mathbb{C})$. As for the constant case of $a(x, y)$, the work of [3] shows that in the parameter space (h, λ) there are bifurcation curve $C_k : \lambda = \sigma_k(h)$ where a non-trivial solution bifurcates from the trivial solution $\psi = 0$. Such a bifurcating solution can be expressed as

$$\psi = \epsilon w^{(k)}(x) \exp(iky) + O(\epsilon)$$

near the bifurcation curve for each $k \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $w^{(k)}$ is a positive eigenfunction of the eigenvalue problem

$$-w_{xx} + d^2(hx - k)^2 w = \sigma w, \quad w_x(0) = w_x(1) = 0,$$

corresponding to the first eigenvalue $\sigma = \sigma_k(h)$. Then any two curves C_k and C_m ($0 \leq k < m$) intersect at $(h_c, \lambda_c) := (k + m, \sigma_k(k + m))$. Therefore a bifurcation analysis in a neighborhood of (h_c, λ_c) tells that the existence of a mixed mode solution, which is written as

$$\psi = \alpha w^{(k)}(x) \exp(iky) + \beta w^{(m)}(x) \exp(imy) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad |\alpha|, |\beta| = O(\epsilon), \quad (1.4)$$

for $(h, \lambda) = (h_c + \xi, \lambda_c + \eta)$, $\xi, \eta = O(\epsilon^2)$. Moreover, there is a parameter region in which this mixed mode solution allows a vortex structure in the sense that it possesses isolated zeros of ψ (see [3]).

The purpose of this article is to drive a normal form of the reduced equation on the center manifold in the presence of the nonconstant $a(x, y)$. Here we assume the heterogeneity of $a(x, y)$ is small so that it can be regarded as a perturbation to the homogeneous one near (h_c, λ_c) . Thus we will be able to investigate how the bifurcation structure near the critical point of (h_c, λ_c) is affected by the presence of a nonconstant $a(x, y)$ and the stability of bifurcating solutions.

2 Reduction of the flow on the center manifold

We recall the following property of the bifurcation curve C_k given by [3]:

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{dh} > 0 \quad (h > 0),$$

and for $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{d\sigma_k}{dh} < 0 \quad (h \in (0, k]).$$

Thus for $h > 0$, C_0 and C_k ($k \geq 1$) first intersect at $(h, \lambda) = (k, \sigma_0(k))$ transversally. Moreover, we can prove the next lemma by the induction argument:

Lemma 2.1 *Suppose that for any k, m with $0 \leq k < m$, bounded by a positive number h_M , the two curves C_k and C_m intersect only at $h = k + m$ in $h \in [0, h_M]$. Let N be the maximal integer less than equal to h_M . Then at $h = 2n - 1$ ($1 \leq 2n - 1 < N$)*

$$\sigma_{n-1} = \sigma_n < \sigma_{n-2} = \sigma_{n+1} < \cdots < \sigma_1 = \sigma_{2n-2} < \sigma_{2n} < \cdots < \sigma_N,$$

while at $h = 2n$ ($2 \leq 2n < N$)

$$\sigma_n < \sigma_{n-1} = \sigma_{n+1} < \sigma_{n-2} = \sigma_{n+2} < \cdots < \sigma_0 = \sigma_{2n} < \sigma_{2n+1} < \cdots < \sigma_N$$

holds.

This lemma tells that under the assumption of the lemma only the critical points of $C_{n-1} \cap C_n$ ($n = 1, \dots, (N+1)/2$) allow stable bifurcating solutions if we apply the local bifurcation theory near the critical points. In other words, in a neighborhood of the other critical points we are not able to obtain a stable solution by the local bifurcation theory.

Next we specify the perturbation so that $a(x, y)$ is given by

$$a(x, y) = (1 + \nu_1 p(x))(1 + \nu_2 q(y)), \quad |\nu_j| = O(\epsilon^2) \quad (j = 1, 2), \quad q(-y) = q(y). \quad (2.1)$$

where ϵ is as in (1.4). Then

$$\frac{\nabla a}{a} = (\nu_1 p_x, \nu_2 q_y) + O(|(\nu_1, \nu_2)|^2).$$

Set

$$\lambda_{k+m} := \sigma_k(k+m) \quad (= \sigma_m(k+m)), \quad (2.2)$$

and for $h = k + m$ define

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &:= 2\pi \int_0^1 (w^{(k)})^2 x dx, & a_2 &:= 2\pi \int_0^1 (w^{(m)})^2 x dx = 1 - a_1, \\
b_1 &:= 2\pi \int_0^1 (w^{(k)})^2 x^2 dx, & b_2 &:= 2\pi \int_0^1 (w^{(m)})^2 x^2 dx = b_1 - 2a_1 + 1, \\
A_1 &:= \{-2ka_1 + 2(k+m)b_1\}d^2, & A_2 &:= \{-2ma_2 + 2(k+m)b_2\}d^2, \\
C &:= 2\pi\lambda_{k+m} \int_0^1 (w^{(k)})^4 dx = 2\pi\lambda_{k+m} \int_0^1 (w^{(m)})^4 dx, \\
D &:= 2\pi\lambda_{k+m} \int_0^1 (w^{(k)}w^{(m)})^2 dx, \\
\gamma_1 &:= 2\pi \int_0^1 p_x w_x^{(k)} w^{(k)} dx, & \tilde{\gamma}_1 &:= 2\pi \int_0^1 p_x w_x^{(m)} w^{(m)} dx, \\
\gamma_2 &:= \frac{m-k}{2} \int_0^1 w^{(k)} w^{(m)} dx \int_0^{2\pi} q_y \sin(m-k)y dy
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Note that at $h = k + m$, $w^{(m)}(x) = w^{(k)}(1-x)$ holds ([3]).

Denote

$$\langle u, v \rangle := \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{2\pi} u(x, y) \overline{v(x, y)} dy,$$

and

$$\varphi_k := w^{(k)}(x) \exp(iky) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad \|\varphi_k\|_{L^2} = 1.$$

We decompose a function of X_1 as

$$\psi = z_0 \varphi_k + z_1 \varphi_m + \hat{\psi},$$

where $\hat{\psi}$ is orthogonal to φ_k and φ_m , that is,

$$\langle \hat{\psi}, \varphi_k \rangle = \langle \hat{\psi}, \varphi_m \rangle = 0.$$

Then we can naturally define the projection $Q : \psi \mapsto \hat{\psi} = Q\psi$.

Applying the center manifold theorem at $h = k + m$ to the equation (1.3), we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2 *Let $0 \leq k < m$. Assume that only the two curves C_k and C_m intersect at $(h_c, \lambda_c) := (k + m, \sigma_k(k + m))$. Let $\xi := h - h_c$ and $\eta := \lambda - \lambda_c$. Then there exist a neighborhood*

$$\mathcal{U} := \{(z_0, z_1, \xi, \eta, \nu_1, \nu_2, \hat{\psi}) : |(z_0, z_1, \xi, \eta, \nu_1, \nu_2)| < \delta_1, \|\hat{\psi}\|_{X_1} < \delta_2\}$$

and a smooth map $K(z_0, z_1, \xi, \eta, \nu_1, \nu_2) : \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow QX_1$ such that the graph of K is contained in \mathcal{U} and the semiflow generated by solutions $\psi(\cdot, \cdot, t) = z_0(t)\varphi_k + z_1(t)\varphi_m + \hat{\psi}(\cdot, \cdot, t)$ to (1.3) can be reduced on the manifold, that is, any bounded trajectory contained in \mathcal{U} lies in the manifold. Moreover, by scaling

$$z_0, z_1 = O(\epsilon), \quad \xi, \eta, \nu_1, \nu_2 = O(\epsilon^2),$$

the flow on the manifold is given by the system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_0 = \{-A_1\xi + \eta - Cz_0^2 - 2Dz_1^2\}z_0 + \nu_1\gamma_1z_0 - \nu_2\gamma_2z_1 + R_0(z_0, z_1, \xi, \eta), \\ \dot{z}_1 = \{-A_2\xi + \eta - 2Dz_0^2 - Cz_1^2\}z_1 + \nu_1\tilde{\gamma}_1z_1 - \nu_2\gamma_2z_0 + R_1(z_0, z_1, \xi, \eta), \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where $R_j = O(\epsilon^4)$, $j = 0, 1$.

The next corollary is proved by the attractivity of the manifold and the invariant foliation along the manifold (see [6], [5] and [4]).

Corollary 2.3 *For $k = 0, m = 1$, a non-degenerate stable (resp. an unstable) equilibrium of (2.4) for sufficiently small ϵ gives a stable (resp. an unstable) equilibrium solution to (1.3) by $\psi = z_0\varphi_k + z_1\varphi_m + K(z_0, z_1, \xi, \eta, \nu_1, \nu_2)$. If the assumption of Lemma 2.1 holds, then for any $(k, m) = (n - 1, n)$ ($n \leq (N + 1)/2$) the same assertion holds.*

We remark that a non-degenerate equilibrium is meant by a solution at which the linearized operator has a simple zero eigenvalue corresponding to the invariance for the transformation $\psi \mapsto e^{itc}\psi$ and the other eigenvalues being away from zero.

References

- [1] T. Boeck and S. J. Chapman, Bifurcation to vortex solutions in superconducting films, *Euro. J. Appl. Math.* **8** (1997), 125-148.
- [2] S. Chapman, Q. Du and M. Gunzberger: A model for variable thickness superconducting thin films. *Zangew Math. Phys.* **47** (1996), 410-431.
- [3] C.-H. Chen and Y. Morita, Bifurcation of vortex and boundary-vortex solutions in a Ginzburg-Landau model, *Nonlinearity*, **20** (2007), 943-964.
- [4] S. -N. Chow, X. -B. Lin and K. Lu, Smooth invariant foliations in infinite-dimensional spaces, *J. Differential Equations*, **94** (1991), 266-291.
- [5] S. -N. Chow and K. Lu, Invariant manifolds for flows in Banach spaces, *J. Differential Equations*, **74** (1988), 285-317.
- [6] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.

- [7] S. Jimbo and Y. Morita, Ginzburg-Landau equation with magnetic effect in a thin domain, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **15** (2002), 325–352.
- [8] Y. Morita, Stable solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau equation with magnetic effect in a thin domain, *Japan J. Indust. Appl. Math.* **21** (2004), 129–147.
- [9] P. Takač, Bifurcations and vortex formation in the Ginzburg-Landau equations, *ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech.* **81** (2001), 523–539.